

### **Why I oppose this bill:**

- 1. The bill currently does not address the definition of the requirements for the level 2 investigator.**
- 2. I understand a \$40,000.00 deficit exists with DORA as a result of the volunteer licensing program, and that amount is to be added to the cost of a new license if SB-133 passes. Why should I have to pay the PPIAC debt as a result of the misrepresentations made by them in 2011?**
- 3. It is clear the over whelming majority of Colorado PI's have no interest in licensing which is evident in the number of licenses issued with the voluntary program. 120 licenses, 20 out of state=100 Colorado pi's who have the license. Far cry from the 250 that PPIAC told you that would apply for the voluntary license.**
- 4. If licensing is such a great deal, please explain why only 60% of PPIAC board members obtained the license?**
- 5. The lack of stakeholder involvement – it is my understanding four PI associations exist in Colorado and that includes us. How can you explain that two of those groups with a combined membership at 200, were NOT invited to these meetings?**

**Whatever business you are in, would you vote 'yes' on this bill?. Why would you expect any of us to go along with this desperate attempt by an organization that has little credibility in the PI world? Too many unexplained, very important issues that need to be addressed.**

**PLEASE VOTE 'NO' ON SB-133**

**Thanks, Rick Johnson**